## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s)              |  |  |
|-----------------|---------------------------|--|--|
| 10/766,768      | MCCALLISTER, RONALD DUANE |  |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit                  |  |  |
| Emmanuel Bayard | 2611                      |  |  |

|  | Emmanuel Bayard  | 2611   |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ars on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence add  | ress                                     |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 29 August 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.  1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the  |  |  |  |  |
| application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe<br>for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C<br>periods:  | FR 1.114. The reply must be filed was  |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(i)   | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in<br>ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing<br>b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE<br>f). | date of the final rejection of the FIRST REPLY WAS FI      | on.<br>LED WITHIN TWO                    |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL   | ension and the corresponding amount of<br>hortened statutory period for reply original   | of the fee. The appropria<br>nally set in the final Office | ate extension fee<br>e action; or (2) as |  |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp<br/>filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter<br/>Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wind<br/>AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>   | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to  | avoid dismissal of the                                     |  |  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, being the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, being the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, being the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, being the proposed amendment and amendment filed after a final rejection, being the proposed amendment filed after a final rejection, being the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, being the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, being the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, being the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, being the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, being the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, being the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, being the proposed amendment filed after a final rejection, being the proposed amendment filed after a final rejection filed after a filed after | nsideration and/or search (see NOT<br>w);  | E below);  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).</li> </ul>  |  |  | ie issues ioi                            |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12   |  | mpliant Amendment (  | PTOL-324).                               |  |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the   |  |  |  |  |
| non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  |  |  |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected:   |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br/>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o<br/>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>   | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea<br>and was not earlier presented. Se   | l and/or appellant fail<br>ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1            | s to provide a<br>).                     |  |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation<br>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  | n of the status of the claims after er   | itry is below or attach                                    | ed.                                      |  |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but<br>The applicant's arguments have been fully considered but<br>allowance. Therefore this case stands rejected as stated  | <u>ut they are not persuasive enough t</u>   | o place the application                                    |  |  |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)   |  |  |  |  |
|  | E 15 1   |  |  |  |
|  | Emmanuel Bayard<br>Primary Examiner<br>Art Unit: 2611  |  |  |  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)